

# SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board

Author: Wesson, et al. Analyst: Marion Mann DeJong Bill Number: AB 2747

Related Bills: See Prior Analysis Telephone: 845-6979 Amended Date: 08/27/2002

Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBJECT:** Motion Picture Production Wages Paid Refundable Credit

DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended \_\_\_\_\_.

☒ AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.

AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended \_\_\_\_\_.

FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.

DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO \_\_\_\_\_.

REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED/AMENDED \_\_\_\_\_ STILL APPLIES.

☒ OTHER - See comments below.

## SUMMARY

This bill would create a refundable income tax credit for wages paid in connection with the production of a motion picture in California.

## SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT

The August 12, 2002, amendments deleted the sales and use tax provisions of the bill and increased the wage requirement so that only movies with at least 75% of total wages paid or incurred in California would qualify for the credit. Other changes made were subsequently deleted in later amendments.

The August 26, 2002, amendments deleted the credit assignment provisions and replaced them with refundable provisions, making the credit refundable. The amendments also made substantive and clarifying changes to reflect the authors' intent and resolve department concerns.

The August 27, 2002, amendments further refined the bill to reflect the author's intent and resolve department concerns.

Because the mechanics of the credit have changed drastically since the department's last analysis of the bill, this analysis replaces all previous analyses.

Board Position:

<input type="checkbox"/> S	<input type="checkbox"/> NA	<input type="checkbox"/> NP
<input type="checkbox"/> SA	<input type="checkbox"/> O	<input type="checkbox"/> NAR
<input type="checkbox"/> N	<input type="checkbox"/> OUA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PENDING

Legislative Director

Date

Jana Howard for B P

9/18/02

## **PURPOSE OF THE BILL**

According to the author, the purpose of this bill is to stem run-away film production by providing a tax incentive to produce motion pictures in California.

## **EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE**

As a tax levy, this bill would become effective immediately upon enactment. However, the bill specifies that it would be operative for taxable years beginning on or after July 1, 2004, and before January 1, 2010.

## **POSITION**

Pending.

## **ANALYSIS**

### FEDERAL/STATE LAW

Current state and federal laws generally allow taxpayers engaged in a trade or business to deduct all expenses that are considered ordinary and necessary in conducting that trade or business (e.g., employee wages and benefits). However, when a taxpayer produces or creates a product (e.g., video, film, etc.) the taxpayer will generally incur a great portion of the expenses before the product is ready to produce income. When this happens, the taxpayer is usually required to capitalize those expenses and amortize (recover or deduct) them over the period of time that the product is producing income using a specialized cost recovery method referred to as the "income forecast" method. Amortized expenses include costs of researching, preparing, producing, recording, etc. It also includes an allocation of indirect costs such as utilities, tools, clerical, and rental of equipment.

Current state and federal laws provide various tax credits designed to provide tax relief for taxpayers who incur certain expenses (e.g., child adoption) or to influence behavior, including business practices and decisions (e.g., research credits or economic development area hiring credits). These credits generally are designed to provide incentives for taxpayers to perform various actions or activities that they may not otherwise undertake. Generally only the taxpayer that incurred the credit-related expense may claim tax credits.

### THIS BILL

This bill would create a refundable income tax credit for wages paid in connection with the production of a motion picture in California. The credit would equal 15% of wages paid to qualified individuals during the production period of a motion picture that is completed and placed in service during the taxable year. Only wages paid for services performed in California on or after January 1, 2004, and before January 1, 2007, would qualify for the credit. For each motion picture, the maximum amount of wages per qualified individual that can be taken into account in computing the credit is \$25,000. In addition, none of the wages paid to qualified individuals who receive more than \$200,000 for services performed for the motion picture qualify for the credit.

“Qualified individuals” would be individuals who perform services during the production period in an activity related to the production of a motion picture. Wages paid to individuals related to the taxpayer would not qualify for the credit. Wages qualifying for the credit would include:

- W-2 wages paid by the taxpayer to a qualified individual for services performed in California.
- Employee fringe benefits that are allocable to the W-2 wages described above.
- Payments made to an entity (i.e., personal service corporations, payroll service corporation, or any entity receiving wages on behalf of a qualified individual) for services performed in California by qualified individuals.
- Remuneration paid to independent contractors who are qualified individuals for services performed in California.

Costs (including wages) paid for various activities specified in the bill that are unrelated to the production of a motion picture would be excluded from wages that qualify for the credit.

“Production period” would mean the period beginning with approval to proceed with the production project and ending with the date the qualified motion picture is placed in service for purposes of the taxpayer claiming amortization deductions for federal income tax purposes.

Motion pictures qualifying for the credit would be motion pictures as defined similar to the definition of “motion picture” in the Sales and Use Tax Law (Section 6010.6(b)(3) of the Revenue and Taxation Code) that meet all of the following additional requirements:

- The total cost of wages of the motion picture, excluding specific costs, is more than \$200,000, but less than \$10 million. For purposes of this test, each episode of a television series is considered to be a separate motion picture.
- At least 75% of the total wages of the production are for services performed in California.
- The motion picture is complete and placed in service for purposes of the taxpayer claiming amortization deductions for federal income tax purposes.
- The copyright for the motion picture is registered with the U.S. Copyright Office.

The taxpayer would be required to add the amount of the credit to income in the year the credit is allowed. In the case of a pass through entity (e.g. partnership, S corporation) the credit is refunded to the partner or shareholder rather than the entity.

The credit would be denied unless the taxpayer substantiates by adequate records or sufficient evidence that the wages were paid and that the wages qualify for the credit. In addition, the credit would be denied if the taxpayer fails to provide the copyright registration number or fails to attach certification that the taxpayer is the one taxpayer entitled to amortize and deduct the movie production expenses for federal income tax purposes. If more than one taxpayer is entitled to amortize and deduct movie production expenses (e.g., due to a cost-sharing arrangement that is not treated as a partnership for tax purposes), an agreement stating which one taxpayer will claim amortization for purposes of the credit must be attached to the return in order to satisfy the “one taxpayer” requirement.

The credit would be allowed for taxable years beginning on or after July 1, 2004, and before January 1, 2010. Motion picture productions completed prior to the taxpayer’s first taxable year beginning on or after July 1, 2004, would qualify for the credit. However, for these motion pictures only wages paid on or after January 1, 2004, would qualify for the credit and the credit would be claimed on the taxpayer’s tax return for the first taxable year beginning on or after July 1, 2004.

## IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

Implementation of this refundable credit will result in significant changes to tax forms, processing systems, and computer systems. It is anticipated that these changes can be implemented during the department's normal annual system update. However, the department has never administered a refundable corporation credit, or a credit relating to the complex movie industry. Unforeseen issues may arise as this credit is implemented. In addition, the department has identified the following additional considerations:

- This credit is allowed to one taxpayer, but is based upon wages paid by many taxpayers (contractors and subcontractors actually producing the motion picture) during the production period of a motion picture. Taxpayers must share wage information with the taxpayer entitled to federal amortization deductions for the motion picture to determine if the motion picture qualifies for the credit and to compute the credit amount. Without this sharing of wage information, the credit cannot be implemented. However, according to the sponsors of this bill, industry practices and production contracts will be modified to require the sharing of this information. Thus, according to the sponsors, determining if a motion picture qualifies and computing the credit amount should not be a problem. Further, the enhanced substantiation requirement is intended to preclude assertions by the qualified taxpayer that they are unable to obtain the necessary wage information but should still be entitled to claim this credit.
- The definition of "production period" may be difficult to implement without clarification of who gives approval to proceed with the production. It is unclear to department staff whether this is a definitive event that can be specifically identified as occurring on a particular calendar date. If it is a definitive event, staff can be trained to understand the commencement date of the production period. However, if it is a subjective event that cannot be specifically identified as occurring on a particular calendar date, then disputes are likely to occur between taxpayers and the department.

## **LEGISLATIVE HISTORY**

AB 1062 (Battin, 1997/1998) would have expanded the Manufacturers' Investment Credit to allow the credit for costs associated with certain activities relating to teleproduction and other postproduction services. AB 1062 was later amended to provide a sales or use tax exemption for teleproduction and other postproduction property. AB 1062 died because it failed to pass to the second house by the constitutional deadline.

AB 484 (Kuehl, 1999/2000), as amended July 14, 1999, would have provided a refundable income tax credit for wages paid in connection with the production of or musical scoring for certain television programs or motion pictures. As enacted, AB 484 (Stats. 1999, Ch. 699), created the Film California First Program within the Technology, Trade, and Commerce Agency to assist in the underwriting of actual costs incurred by production companies filming in California.

AB 358 (Wildman, 1999/2000) would have provided a refundable income tax credit for wages paid in connection with television programs or motion pictures similar to AB 484. AB 358 was held in the Senate Appropriations Committee.

SB 1366 (Kuehl, 2001/2002) is a spot bill that, according to the author's office, is intended to address the entertainment industry. SB 1366 is in the Senate Rules Committee.

## OTHER STATES' INFORMATION

*Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, and New York* laws do not provide a credit comparable to the credit allowed by this bill. The laws of these states were reviewed because their tax laws are similar to California's income tax laws.

*Congress* is considering two bills, Senate Bill 1278 and House Resolution 3131, that would provide a credit equal to 25% of the first \$25,000 of labor costs incurred for the production of motion pictures in the U.S. No action has been taken on either bill since being assigned to committees in 2001.

*Canada* provides a refundable income tax credit for a percentage of Canadian labor costs related to motion picture production. Additionally, most Canadian provinces provide a similar credit that matches the national credit. The two credits can equal up to 22% of Canadian labor costs.

*Hawaii* provides an income tax credit of up to 4% of costs incurred in Hawaii for production of motion pictures and television series. The Hawaiian legislature considered a bill, SB 3021, to allow an income tax credit equal to 22% of wages and salaries paid to motion picture and television workers for productions made in Hawaii. According to a recent article, the bill was sent to conference committee for further discussion but will not be enacted during the current legislative session.

*New Mexico* recently enacted legislation, HB 118 (Stats. 2002, Ch. 36), which provides a refundable tax credit equal to 15% of direct production expenses for films made in New Mexico.

## FISCAL IMPACT

The department's costs to administer this bill will not be determined since the bill failed to pass the Senate Appropriations Committee. It is anticipated that the department would incur significant costs to modify corporate systems to allow for a refundable credit and to address noncompliance issues.

## ECONOMIC IMPACT

### Revenue Estimate

The revenue impact of this bill is estimated to be as shown in the following table:

Revenue Impact of AB 2747, as Amended August 27, 2002 For Qualified Wages beginning on or after January 1, 2004 For Tax Years beginning on or after July 1, 2004 \$ Millions				
2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
-\$0	-\$20	-\$140	-\$90	-\$20

This analysis does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this measure.

### Revenue Discussion

The revenue impact of this credit would depend on the amount of qualified wages paid beginning on January 1, 2004, and through December 31, 2006. Qualified wages is estimated as the product of the number of employees in the film/video industry, the average weekly wage, the number of workweeks per year, the \$25,000 wage cap, and the \$10 million production cost cap. In addition, the 2005 qualified wages are adjusted downward (5%) for movies started in 2005 but completed in later years.

The amount of qualified wages for the 2005 tax year is projected to be \$590 million derived as follows:

$$23,686 \text{ qualified employees} \times \$1407 \text{ Average Weekly Wage} \times 50 \text{ Weeks} \times 37.3\% \text{ Adjustment Factor} \times 0.95 \\ = \$590 \text{ million qualified wages}$$

The adjustment factor of 37.3% includes the \$25,000 wage cap, the \$10 million production cost cap, the addition of credit to income, and the requirement that 75% of total movie wages must be qualified wages.

The amount of credits generated for the 2005 tax year is projected to be \$89 million as follows:

$$\$590 \text{ million qualified wages} \times 15\% \text{ credit rate} = \$89 \text{ million}$$

This amount of generated credit is further adjusted for cash-flow reductions in estimated tax payments throughout the year to arrive at the fiscal-year estimates above.

The Film and Television Action Committee (FTAC) provided an estimate for the amount of wages that would qualify under this bill. For the year 1998, FTAC estimated that total wages for qualified projects would be approximately \$1.429 billion, about 12% of the wages paid to California employees in industry SIC 781 (Motion Picture and Video tape Production). Based on the weekly wage data \$1,292 from EDD, employment in qualified projects is estimated at 22,124 persons. This level of employment is assumed to stay unchanged from 1998 to 2002. From 2002 onward, employment is assumed to grow at 2.3% annually. Average weekly wages for the future years are assumed to grow at the same rates as CPI.

### **ARGUMENTS/POLICY CONCERNS**

Under existing state law, credits are only available to the taxpayer that paid or incurred the expense that provided the basis for the credit. This credit would allow a taxpayer to claim a tax credit for wages paid or incurred during the production of a motion picture, regardless of whether the taxpayer actually directly paid the wages.

### **LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT**

Marion Mann DeJong  
Franchise Tax Board  
845-6979  
[marion.dejong@ftb.ca.gov](mailto:marion.dejong@ftb.ca.gov)

Brian Putler  
Franchise Tax Board  
845-6333  
[brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov](mailto:brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov)